benzylpyrrolidine with iodobenzene and an electrophile) did not afford any C-C bond product. These preliminary mechanistic observations are in accord with a reaction path involving α -amino organosamarium species 4 as a transient intermediate⁵ (eq 1). The sequence probably involves the



following: (i) deiodination of o-iodobenzyl group by SmI₂ giving the corresponding aryl radical 2; (ii) an intramolecular 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer^{6,7} producing the α -am-

(6) Intramolecular 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer, followed by radical cyclization, has been utilized for synthesis of five- and six-membered carbo- and hoterocycles. (a) Bennete, S. M.; Clive, D. L. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 878. (b) Lathbury, D. C.; Parsons, P. J.; Pinto, I. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 81. (c) Borthwick, A. D.; Caddick, S.; Parsons, P. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6911. (d) Snieckus, V.; Cuevas, J.-C.; Sloan, C. P.; Liu, H.; Curran, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 896. (e) Curran, D. P.; Abraham, A. C.; Liu, H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4335 and references cited therein.

(7) It has been reported that reduction of any radicals by SmI_2 is slower than intermolecular hydrogen atom abstraction from solvent THF. (a) Matsukawa, M.; Inanaga, J.; Yamaguchi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5877. (b) Inanaga, J.; Ishikawa, M.; Yamaguchi, M. Chem. Lett. 1987, 1485.

ino radical 3; and (iii) one-electron transfer from SmI_2 to 3 giving 4. Because of the instability of 4, electrophiles must be present in the reaction medium during its formation.

It should be noted that the pendent benzyl group in the product 5 can be removed by hydrogenation to give the secondary amine.⁸ Therefore, the reaction provides a useful method for the synthesis of a variety of nitrogen containing compounds including β -amino alcohols and α -amino acid derivatives.

Metalation by hydrogen-metal exchange has usually been carried out by abstraction of a proton under strongly basic conditions using an alkyllithium or lithium amide.¹ The present reaction, which constitutes translocation of radical center from the pendent benzyl group to the α position of an amine and a subsequent one-electron transfer, offers new methodology for amine metalation and subsequent C-C bond formation.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan (Grant-in-Aid for General Scientific Research (01430017)).

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental details for the synthesis and characterization of 5a-e, 6, and 7 and ^{13}C NMR spectra for 5b-d, 6, and 7 (8 pages). This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

Stereoselective Synthesis of Both (E)- and (Z)-1,2,4-Heptatrien-6-ynes. Formation of an α .3-Dehydrotoluene Biradical, Trapping by an Intramolecular Carbon–Carbon Double Bond, and Decay of the Resulting New Biradical via an Intramolecular Route[†]

Yemane W. Andemichael, Yu Gui Gu, and Kung K. Wang*

Department of Chemistry, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506 Received December 3, 1991

Summary: Condensation between conjugated allenic aldehydes 3 and γ -(trimethylsilyl)allenylboranes 2 followed by the Peterson olefination reaction afforded envne-allenes 7 and 8. On heating, 8c underwent a sequence of intramolecular transformations through biradical intermediates.

Recently, simple acyclic (Z)-1,2,4-heptatrien-6-ynes were reported to undergo facile cycloaromatization reactions at ambient or even subambient temperatures to produce reactive α ,3-dehydrotoluene biradicals,¹ which could mimic the DNA-cleaving mechanisms and properties of the new class of very potent antitumor antibiotics calicheamicins,² esperamicins,³ neocarzinostatin,⁴ and dynemicins.⁵ The synthetic routes to these conjugated enyne-allenes generally involved acetylene to allene transformation of the corresponding enediyne propargylic alcohols. We report

⁽⁵⁾ Recently, the evidence for the intermediacy of organosamarium Molander, G. A.; Kenny, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8236. (b) Molander, G. A.; Kenny, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8236. (c) Molander, G. A.; Harring, L. S. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6171. (c) Curran, D. P.; Fevig, T. L.; Totleben, M. J. Synlett 1990, 773. (d) Molander, G. A.; Y. Soc. 1990, 100 (c) Molander, G. M. Stark, C. M. Stark, Stark, C. M. Stark, A.; Kenny, C. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1439. (e) Molander, G. A.; McKie, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4112.

⁽⁸⁾ The stereochemistry of 6 was determined by a ¹H NMR NOE experiment on the corresponding cyclic urethane 7, which is derived from the treatment of 6 with trichloromethyl chloroformate.

[†]Dedicated to Professor Herbert C. Brown on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

^{(1) (}a) Myers, A. G.; Kuo, E. Y.; Finney, N. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8057-8059. (b) Myers, A. G.; Dragovich, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 9130-9132. (c) Nagata, R.; Yamanaka, H.; Okazaki, E.; Saito, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 4995-4998. (d) Nagata, R.; Yamanaka, H.; Murahashi, E.; Saito, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 2907-2910. (e) Nicolaou, K. C.; Maligres, P.; Shin, J.; de Leon, E.; Rideout, D. J. Am.

^{Nicolaou, K. C.; Maligres, P.; Shin, J.; de Leon, E.; Rideout, D. J. Am.} Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7825-7826.
(2) (a) Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Siegel, M. M.; Chang, C. C.; Morton, G. O.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3464-3466. (b) Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Chang, C. C.; Ellestad, G. A.; Siegel, M. M.; Morton, G. O.; McGahren, W. J.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3466-3468. (c) Lee, M. D.; Manning, J. K.; Williams, D. R.; Kuck, N. A.; Testa, R. T.; Borders, D. B. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 1070-1087.
(3) (a) Golik, J.; Clardy, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenewold, G.; Kawaguchi, H.; Konishi, M.; Krishnan, B.; Ohkuma, H.; Saitoh, K.-i; Doyle, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3461-3462. (b) Golik, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenewold.

Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3461-3462. (b) Golik, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenewold, G.; Kawaguchi, H.; Konishi, M.; Krishnan, B.; Ohkuma, H.; Saitoh, K.-i.; Doyle, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3462-3464.



herein an alternative pathway to enyne-allenes by the condensation reaction of γ -(trimethylsilyl)allenylboranes with conjugated allenic aldehydes followed by the Peterson olefination reaction. This new route enabled us to prepare an envne-allene which underwent a sequence of remarkable intramolecular transformations.

Allenylsilanes 1⁶ were lithiated with *tert*-butyllithium⁷ followed by treatment with B-methoxy-9-borabicyclo-[3.3.1]nonane (B-MeO-9-BBN) and 4/3 BF₃·OEt₂⁸ to produce allenylboranes 2 (Scheme I), which exhibited strong IR signals for allenic structures at 1900 cm⁻¹ for 2a and at 1895 cm⁻¹ for 2b with absence of acetylenic absorptions. Subsequent condensation of 2 with readily available conjugated allenic aldehydes 3⁹ afforded, after treatment with 2-aminoethanol,¹⁰ hydroxypropargylsilanes 5 with high diastereomeric purity (5:6 \geq 93:7) and in excellent yield. In each case, the RR/SS pair 5 was assigned as the predominant product on the basis of the geometrical outcome of the resulting double bond after the Peterson olefination reaction.¹¹ The KH-induced syn elimination

F. J.; Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7410-7411. (e) Nicolaou, K. C.; Smith, A. L.; Wendeborn, S. V.; Hwang, C.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3106-3114.
(6) (a) Westmijze, H.; Vermeer, P. Synthesis 1979, 390-392. (b) Danheiser, R. L.; Carini, D. J.; Fink, D. M.; Basak, A. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 935-947. (c) Danheiser, R. L.; Tsai, Y.-M.; Fin, D. M. Org. Synth. 1987, 66, 1-7. (d) Wang, K. K.; Liu, C.; Gu, Y. G.; Burnett, F. N.; Sattsangi, P. D. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1914-1922. (e) Wang, K. K.; Gu, Y. G.; Liu, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4424-4421 Y. G.; Liu, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4424-4431



of hydroxytrimethylsilane from 5 gave 7 as the major isomer ($\geq 96\%$), whereas H₂SO₄- or NaHSO₃-induced anti elimination produced 8 ($\geq 96\%$) predominantly.

It is worth noting that if the reaction model proposed by Chodkiewicz et al.¹² is to be employed to explain the stereochemical outcome of the condensation reaction in producing 5 as the major isomer then it would require the reaction to proceed through the pericyclic transition state 4 with the allenic and the trimethylsilyl groups occupying the same side of the six-membered ring. This observation is in sharp contrast to the earlier reports by Yamamoto et al.¹³ and Corey et al.¹⁴ in the synthesis of enynes by condensation of other γ -(trialkylsilyl)-substituted allenic organometallics with simple aldehydes. The high diastereoselectivities observed in these earlier cases were attributed to the R group of aldehydes preferentially adopting the side opposite to the trialkylsilyl group in the six-membered cyclic transition states in order to minimize the nonbonded steric interactions. It is possible that acyclic transition states are involved in the current cases because of the potential presence of BF₃·OEt₂ which could serve as a Lewis acid in activating the carbonyl group of the allenic aldehydes and the fluoride and methoxide ions which could form complexes with allenylboranes.¹⁵

Enyne-allene 8c was synthesized in order to study the possibility of generating α ,3-dehydrotoluene 9 by the cycloaromatization reaction and then trapping the benzenoid radical center in 9 by the intramolecular carbon-carbon double bond. Dropwise introduction of a solution of 8c (0.057 g, 0.31 mmol) in 50 mL of benzene into 300 mL of refluxing benzene under N2 over a period of 1 h followed by an additional 1.5 h of reflux afforded 0.043 g (0.23

^{(4) (}a) Myers, A. G.; Proteau, P. J.; Handel, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7212-7214 and references cited therein. (b) Wender, P. A.;

McKinney, J. A.; Mukai, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5369–5370. (5) (a) Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Tsuno, T.; Oki, T.; VanDuyne, G. D.; (b) (a) Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Tsuno, T.; Oki, T.; VanDuyne, G. D.;
Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3715-3716. (b) Konishi, M.;
Ohkuma, H.; Matsumoto, K.; Tsuno, T.; Kamei, H.; Miyaki, T.; Oki, T.;
Kawaguchi, H.; VanDuyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 1449-1452. (c) Sugiura, Y.; Shiraki, T.; Konishi, M.; Oki, T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1990, 87, 3831-3835. (d) Porco, J. A., Jr.; Schoenen, F. J.; Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7410-7411. (c) Nigolaw, K. C.; Smith, A. I. Wondohene, S. V.

⁽⁷⁾ Clinet, J.-C.; Linstrumelle, G. Synthesis 1981, 875–878.
(8) Brown, H. C.; Sinclair, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 131, 163 - 169.

^{(9) (}a) Clinet, J. C.; Linstrumelle, G. Nouv. J. Chem. 1977, 1, 373-374.

 ⁽b) Sueiras, J.; Okamura, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6255–6259.
 (10) (a) Krishnamurthy, S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1864–1885.
 (b) Gu, Y. G.; Wang, K. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3029-3032

⁽¹¹⁾ Hudrlik, P. F.; Peterson, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1464-1468

⁽¹²⁾ Saniere-Karila, M.; Capmau, M. L.; Chodkiewicz, W. Bull. Chem. Soc. Fr. 1973, 3371-3376.

 ^{(13) (}a) Furuta, K.; Ishiguro, M.; Haruta, R.; Ikeda, N.; Yamamoto, H.
 Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1984, 57, 2768–2776. (b) Yamakado, Y.; Ishiguro,
 M.; Ikeda, N.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5568–5570.

 ⁽¹⁴⁾ Corey, E. J.; Rucker, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 719–722.
 (15) (a) Reetz, M. T.; Sauerwald, M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2293–2295.
 (b) Yamamoto, Y.; Saito, Y.; Maruyama, K. J. Organomet.

Chem. 1985, 292, 311-318. (c) Yamamoto, Y.; Yatagai, H.; Maruyama, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 1072-1073.

mmol, 74%) of 12 (Scheme II). Apparently, the benzenoid radical center in the initially produced biradical 9 was indeed trapped by the carbon-carbon double bond intramolecularly, forming preferentially a 5-membered ring in a fashion characteristic of the free-radical cyclization reaction,¹⁶ to give a new biradical 10, which then decayed to afford 12.

Although on surface a reaction mechanism involving exchange of hydrogen atoms among 10 *intermolecularly* could account for the formation of 12, this reaction pathway was not what actually occurred. Instead, 10 decayed through an *intramolecular* route with an initial [1,5]-sigmatropic hydrogen shift to form o-xylylene 11 followed by a second [1,5]-sigmatropic hydrogen shift to afford 12. The existence of such an internal decay route was supported by the formation of 13 when deuterated enyne-allene 8d was utilized (Scheme III). The migration of a deuterium atom to the benzylic position is consistent with the intramolecular pathway.

The transformation from 10 to 11 is likely to be facile; we estimate the heat of formation of 11 to be ca. 13 kcal/mol less than that of 10, representing the difference in the bond dissociation energies of primary alkyl and benzylic C-H bonds.¹⁷ Furthermore, the rigid structure of 10 should also enhance the rate of the hydrogen shift.¹⁸ Biradical 11, an o-xylylene derivative, is also expected to have a very short life time on the basis of the fact that 14 was reported to have a half-life of only 0.92×10^{-3} s at 26 °C ($k = 7.5 \times 10^2$ s⁻¹) (eq 1).¹⁹



(16) Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 417-439 and references cited therein.

(17) March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1985; p 166.

(18) For an example of a [1,5]-sigmatropic hydrogen shift of a 1,4dehydrobenzene biradical, see: Lockhart, T. P.; Comita, P. B.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4082-4090.

In summary, the reaction sequence outlined in Scheme I provides a facile route to a variety of enyne-allenes by using different combinations of readily available γ -(trimethylsilyl)allenylboranes and conjugated allenic aldehydes. The trapping experiment shown in Scheme II gives further evidence for the formation of α ,3-dehydrotoluene species and also demonstrates a way for easy entry to many other new biradicals. Such new biradicals having a longer distance between the two radical centers could potentially exhibit very different DNA-cleaving properties and therapeutic values compared to the original biradicals by abstracting hydrogen atoms from other positions of the sugar-phosphate backbone of DNA.²⁰ Certainly, any facile intramolecular decay route must be eliminated in order to give these new biradicals a longer life time for hydrogen abstractions from DNA to take place. In addition, it should be noted that other synthetically useful o-xylylenes²¹ could also be likewise prepared as shown in Scheme II.

Acknowledgment. The financial support of the National Science Foundation for the purchase of a JEOL GX-270 NMR spectrometer (RII-8011453) and an HP 5970B GC/MSD system (CHE-8913626) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental procedures, IR, MS, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral data and actual ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 1b, 5a-d, 7a-c, 8a-d, 12, and 13 (37 pages). This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

(21) Oppolzer, W. Synthesis 1978, 793-802 and references cited therein.

A Facile Synthesis of 5-Methylene-1,3-cyclohexadienes (*o*-Isotoluenes) and 1,2,4,6-Heptatetraenes[†]

Yemane W. Andemichael and Kung K. Wang*

Department of Chemistry, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506

Received October 16, 1991

Summary: Condensation between 4-methyl-2,3-pentadienal and γ -(trimethylsilyl)allylboranes 3, 4, and 16 followed by the Peterson olefination reaction afforded the corresponding o-isotoluenes and diene-allenes.

Since the first synthesis of 5-methylene-1,3-cyclohexadiene (o-isotoluene) by Bailey and Baylouny,¹ several different routes to this alicyclic isomer of toluene² and its benzologues³ have been reported. However, almost all of these methods involved multistep synthesis and generally required gas-phase pyrolysis. Only limited quantities could be produced at a time. It is undoubtedly due to the lack of an efficient route to o-isotoluenes that a systematic investigation of this class of theoretically interesting and

⁽¹⁹⁾ de Fonseka, K. K.; McCullough, J. J.; Yarwood, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3277-3282.

^{(20) (}a) Zein, N.; Poncin, M.; Nilakantan, R.; Ellestad, G. A. Science
1989, 244, 697-699. (b) Zein, N.; Sinha, A. M.; McGahren, W. J.; Ellestad,
G. A. Science 1988, 240, 1198-1201. (c) Hawley, R. C.; Kiessling, L. L.;
Schreiber, S. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1989, 86, 1105-1109. (d)
Kappen, L. S.; Ellenberger, T. E.; Goldberg, I. H. Biochemistry 1987, 26,
384-390. (e) Kappen, L. S.; Goldberg, I. H. Biochemistry 1989, 28,
1027-1032 and references cited therein.

[†]Dedicated to Professor Gabor B. Fodor on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

Bailey, W. J.; Baylouny, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3476-3478.
 (2) (a) Hasselmann, D.; Loosen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 606-608.
 (b) Kopecky, K. R.; Lau, M.-P. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 525-526.
 (c) Graham, W. D.; Green, J. G.; Pryor, W. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 907-914.
 (d) Brinker, U. H.; Fleischhauer, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 396-397.

^{(3) (}a) Murata, İ.; Nakazawa, T.; Kato, M.; Tatsuoka, T.; Sugihara, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 1647-1650. (b) Scott, L. T.; Brunsvold, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 641. (c) Sugimoto, A.; Yoneda, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 376-377.